

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,688	02/12/2001	Malcolm James Grieve	DP-302901	6141
75	90 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Vincent A. Cichosz			TRAN, LEN	
DELPHI TECH 1450 West Lon	INOLOGIES, INC. g Lake		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy MI 48007			1725	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,688	GRIEVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Len Tran	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? February 2001.					
•	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-40 is/are withdened 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication for a limit of the pappli	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
			•			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-21, drawn to a method of controlling temperature of the fuel reformer, classified in class 48, subclass 197R.
 - II. Claims 22-28 and 40, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 48, subclass 109.
 - III. Claims 29-39, drawn to a method of producing electrical power, classified in class429, subclass 19.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be used to control temperature casting die.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are temperature control vs. electrical power production.

Art Unit: 1725

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

Page 3

for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

5. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Jimmy Funke on March 9, 2004 a provisional

election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-21.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims

22-40 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-14, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Kumar et al (US 5,248,566).

Kumar et al disclose the method of controlling the temperature of the fuel reformer by

sensing the temperature of the reformer, adding a first air to the reformer, heating the first air

upstream from the fuel reformer, burning the first air, adding a second air, wherein the second air

Art Unit: 1725

is cooler than the first air, mixing both first and second air, an controlling the amount of heated air and second air from the inlet (col. 4, lines 4-11 and figures).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (US '566) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP 1-217865.

Kumar et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach the sensor is at the inlet of the fuel reformer.

However, JP '865 discloses a temperature control sensor at the inlet of the reformer for the purpose of measuring the temperature inside the reformer in order to adjust the fuel flow.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a sensor at the inlet as taught by JP '865, in Kumar et al in order to adjust the fuel flow.

Art Unit: 1725

10. Claims 5-7 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (US '566) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Towler et al (US 6,299,994).

Kumar et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to mention heating the air by thermal exchange, electrical heating device, or heat from a fuel cell stack.

However, Towler et al disclose heating the air either by electrical or radiation (col. 18, lines 40-42) for the purpose of initiating the partial oxidation reaction.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide electrical, radiation, thermal exchange as taught by Towler et al, in Kumar et al in order to initiate partial oxidation.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT March 11, 2004 Kiley Stoner A4 1725 Ithy Store 3/30/04